EXHIBIT 46

Case 4:13-md-02420-YGR Document 1813-47 Filed 05/26/17 Page 2 of 6

1 2 3 4	Steve W. Berman (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 725-3000 Facsimile: (510) 725-3001 steve@hbsslaw.com	
5 6 7 8 9 10	Elizabeth J. Cabraser (083151) LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 ecabraser@lchb.com Steven N. Williams (175489) COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP 840 Malcolm Road Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577	, LLP
12 13 14 15	swilliams@cpmlegal.com Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel [Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page]	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
18	OAKLAND DIVISION	
19 20	IN RE LITHIUM ION BATTERIES ANTITRUST LITIGATION,	Case No. 13-MD-02420 YGR (DMR) MDL No. 2420
21 22 23 24 25	This Documents Relates to: ALL ACTIONS	DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFF CINDY BOOZE IN SUPPORT OF INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND SERVICE AWARDS Date: August 1, 2017 Time: 2:00 p.m. Dept: Courtroom 1, 4th Floor
26 27 28		Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers DATE ACTION FILED: Oct. 3, 2012
	I .	

010330-11 960245V1

2 3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

CINDY BOOZE DECL. ISO IPPS' MOT. FOR ATTYS' FEES, COSTS AND SERVICE AWARDS - Case No. 4:13-md-02420-

010330-11 960245V1

I, CINDY BOOZE, declare as follows:

- I am an individual over the age of 18. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein and, if called upon, I could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. I am a class representative in *In re Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litigation*, No. 13-md-02420, filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. I submit this affidavit, on behalf of myself and the settlement class, in support of plaintiffs' motion for attorneys' fees, expenses, and service awards and in support of final approval of the settlements with Hitachi Maxell, Ltd. and Maxell Corporation of America (collectively, Hitachi Maxell), LG Chem, Ltd. and LG Chem America, Inc. (collectively, LG Chem), and NEC Corporation (NEC).
- As a class representative, I understand that it is my responsibility to be informed of the work done by my attorneys on the case and make my own judgment about the fairness of any settlement proposed by the lawyers. I also understand that in evaluating the fairness of the settlement, I am required to consider the interests of all members of the Class, as well as my own. I am free to disagree with my attorneys about the merits of a settlement and make my views known to the court.
- 4. Over the past one and one-half years, I have diligently performed my duty to assist counsel in prosecuting this case, investing significant time and effort to fulfill my role as a class representative. Throughout this litigation, I have remained informed regarding the status of the litigation by communicating with my attorneys, including reviewing periodic update correspondence from my counsel and key case documents. Since the outset of the litigation, I have also diligently retained all papers or electronic information that could be relevant to the litigation and provided these to my attorneys.
- Throughout the case I have also assisted in responding to discovery. This included reviewing discovery requests from defendants, discussing them with my counsel, reviewing proposed responses, making any corrections, and signing off on the responses. In total, I assisted counsel in responding to a total of 22 interrogatories, 37 requests for production of documents, and 4 requests for admission. I also spent a significant amount of time locating purchase receipts and the other documents requested by the defendants in this litigation.

6. I have also contributed to the discovery process by sitting for a deposition. In total, my deposition lasted four hours and 30 minutes of record time, where I was questioned by counsel in this case. To ensure the accuracy of my transcript, I spent one and one-half hours reviewing it for errors.

- 7. In total, I estimate that I have spent about 60 hours performing all of the above-described duties on behalf of the class over the past one and one-half years. My attorneys have not made any promises regarding compensation for my service, and I willingly agreed to participate in this case with no guarantee of personal benefit. I believe that the time, effort, and information I provided helped to make the settlement possible. I ask that the Court approve my service award in the amount of \$1,500.
- 8. I have reviewed the terms of the settlements with the Hitachi Maxell, LG Chem and NEC defendants, discussed those terms with my attorneys, and I am aware of and approve all terms of the proposed settlements, as it affects me and the members of the Class. Based upon this reading and my discussions with Class Counsel, I understand that these defendants agree to pay \$44.95 million into a fund to be distributed to the Settlement Class. I understand in general terms that the monies will be distributed on a *pro rata* basis to class members based on: (1) the number of approved purchases per class member of products containing cylindrical LIBs during the settlement class period; and (2) the number of valid claims filed. There will be no reversion of unclaimed funds to any defendant. To the extent that money is not able to be reasonably distributed to class members, IPPs propose that the money escheat to state governments.
- 9. I believe that the proposed settlement achieves significant recovery for the Class and is an excellent result considered in light of the risks associated with a complex and costly trial. I recognize the uncertainty of success on any or all of the claims presented in this litigation if this case were to go to trial. I understand that after these settlements were reached, this Court denied class certification. This denial highlights the risks that plaintiffs face in collective actions such as this. I believe that litigation of this case on my own behalf, and not on behalf of a class, would not be financially prudent given my damages in this case versus the resources available to the

-2-

Case 4:13-md-02420-YGR Document 1813-47 Filed 05/26/17 Page 5 of 6

1	10. I believe the settlement agreements between the Settling Defendants and Class	
2	Counsel were reached at arms' length, and that the terms of the settlement reflect the independent	
3	evaluation of these Settling Defendants and Class Counsel of their respective best interests. Based	
4	upon my understanding of the class claims asserted in this litigation, and my understanding of the	
5	terms of the settlement agreement, I believe the proposed settlements are fair, adequate and	
6	reasonable, and in the best interests of class members, and should therefore be granted final	
7	approval.	
8	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the	
9	foregoing is true and correct. Executed May 24, 2017, in Lincoln Nebraska.	
10		
11	(1. OBress S	
12	CINDY BOOZE	
13		
14		
15		
16	q.	
17		
18		
19		
20		

1	ATTESTATION
2	I, Steven N. Williams, hereby attest, pursuant to United States District Court, Northern
3	District of California Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), that concurrence to the filing of this document
4	has been obtained from the signatory hereto.
5	
6	By: /s/ Steven N. Williams
7	Steven N. Williams
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
2 4 25	
23 26	
20 27	
´ ′	

28