EXHIBIT 64

Class Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiff	c_S
UNITED STATI	ES DISTRICT COURT
	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	AND DIVISION
IN RE LITHIUM ION BATTERIES ANTITRUST LITIGATION,	Case No. 13-MD-02420 YGR (DMR)
	MDL No. 2420
This Documents Relates to: ALL ACTIONS	DECLARATION OF TERENCE HOZWELL (ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF CITY OF PALO ALTO) IN SUPPORT OF INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND SERVICE AWARDS
	Date: August 1, 2017 Time: 2:00 p.m. Dept: Courtroom 1, 4th Floor Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
	DATE ACTION FILED: Oct. 3, 2012

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I. Terence Howzell, declare as follows:

- I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California and am Principal City Attorney with Palo Alto City Attorney's Office. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein and, if called upon, I could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. The City of Palo Alto ("Palo Alto" or the "City") is a representative of the governmental entity subclass in *In re Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litigation*, No. 13-md-02420, filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. I submit this affidavit on Palo Alto's behalf and the settlement class, in support of Class Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees, expenses, and service awards and in support of final approval of the settlements with Hitachi Maxell, Ltd. and Maxell Corporation of America ("Hitachi Maxell"), LG Chem, Ltd. and LG Chem America, Inc. ("LG Chem"), and NEC Corporation ("NEC") (collectively, the "Settling Defendants").1
- 3. As a class representative, Palo Alto is responsible for being informed of the work done by its attorneys on the case and make its own judgment about the fairness of any settlement proposed by the lawyers.
- 4. In evaluating the fairness of the settlement, Palo Alto is also required to consider the interests of all members of the Class, as well as its own, and is free to disagree with its attorneys about the merits of a settlement and make its views known to the court.
- 5. Over the past four years, Palo Alto – through its employees, including personnel within the City Attorney's Office – has worked diligently to perform its duty to assist it retained outside counsel, Renne Sloan Holtzman Sakai LLP ("RSHS") and Bleichmar Fonti & Auld LLP ("BFA"), in prosecuting this case, investing significant time and effort to fulfill its role as a class representative. Throughout this litigation, Palo Alto has remained informed regarding the status of the litigation by communicating with its attorneys, including reviewing periodic update

Throughout this declaration, the term "Co-Lead Counsel" refers to the law firms of Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP, Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP, and Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP. The term "Supporting Counsel" refers to the law firms that assisted Co-Lead Counsel in litigating this case. The term "Class Counsel" refers to all the attorneys and law firms that represented IPPs in this case, including Co-Lead Counsel and Supporting Counsel.

1

8 9

11

12

10

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

correspondence from counsel and key case documents. Moreover, since the outset of the litigation, Palo Alto has diligently retained all documents or electronic information that could be relevant to the litigation and provided a large bulk of these documents to its attorneys.

- 6. Throughout the case Palo Alto personnel also assisted in responding to discovery. This included reviewing discovery requests from defendants, discussing them with counsel, reviewing proposed responses, making any corrections, and signing off on the responses. In total, Palo Alto has assisted counsel in responding to a total of 22 interrogatories, 37 requests for production of documents, and 4 requests for admission. Palo Alto personnel, including employees assigned to its IT and procurement departments, have also spent a significant amount of time locating relevant purchase orders, receipts and other documents requested by the defendants in this litigation.
- 7. Palo Alto has also contributed to the discovery process in this case by producing David Ramberg, Assistant Director to the City's Administrative Services department, as its person most knowledgeable in response to Defendants Samsung SDI Co., LTD and Samsung SDI American, Inc.'s FRCP 30(b)(6) deposition notice. In preparation for his deposition, Mr. Ramberg spent approximately 30 hours conferring with the City's retained outside counsel and reviewing documents produced by the City in discovery. In total, Mr. Ramberg's deposition lasted approximately eight hours, during which he was questioned by counsel in this case.
- 8. In total, I estimate that Palo Alto has spent over 200 hours performing all of the above-described duties on behalf of the class over the past four years. Palo Alto's retained outside counsel have not made any promises regarding compensation for these service, and the City willingly agreed to participate in this case with no guarantee of any benefit whatsoever. Based on information obtained from its attorneys, the City believes that the time, effort, and information it provided helped to make the settlement possible. Accordingly, the City respectfully requests that the Court approve a service award on its behalf in the amount of \$1,500.
- 9. Attorneys in the City Attorney's Office has reviewed the terms of the settlements with the Hitachi Maxell, LG Chem and NEC defendants, discussed those terms with the City's

outside counsel. Palo Alto is aware of and approves all terms of the proposed settlements, as it affects the City and the members of the Class.

- 10. Based upon this reading and discussions with Class Counsel, it is Palo Alto's understanding that the Hitachi Maxwell, LG Chem and NEC defendants have agreed to settlements totaling \$44.95 million. The City further understands in general terms that the monies will be distributed on a pro rata basis to class members based on: (1) the number of Lithium Ion Batteries purchased by the class member; and (2) the number of valid claims filed. Palo Alto also understands that there will be no reversion of unclaimed funds to any defendant and, to the extent that money is not able to be reasonably distributed to class members, it may escheat to federal or state governments.
- 11. Palo Alto believes that the proposed settlements represent significant recoveries for the class, and are excellent results considered in light of the risks associated with a complex and costly trial. The City recognizes the uncertainty of success on any or all of the claims presented in this litigation if this case were to go to trial.
- 12. Palo Alto understands that after these settlements were reached, this Court denied class certification. This denial highlights the risks that plaintiffs face in collective actions such as this. The City believes that litigation of this case on its own behalf, and not on behalf of a class, would not be financially prudent given its damages in this case versus the resources available to the international defendants who formed this cartel. The proposed settlements also permit an immediate recovery to class members without the risk, delay, and expense of trial.
- 13. Palo Alto understands these settlement agreements were reached at arms' length. Based upon its understanding of the class claims asserted in this litigation and its understanding of the terms of the settlement agreement, the City believes the proposed settlements are fair, adequate and reasonable, and in the best interests of class members, and should therefore be granted final approval.

25 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///

23

24

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed May 2b, 2017, in Palo Alto, California. Derence Howzell Terence Howzell

1	ATTESTATION	
2	I, Steven N. Williams, hereby attest, pursuant to United States District Court, Northern	
3	District of California Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), that concurrence to the filing of this document	
4	has been obtained from the signatory hereto.	
5		
6	By: /s/ Steven N. Williams	
7	Steven N. Williams	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
2 4 25		
23 26		
20 27		
´ ′		

28