

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE LITHIUM ION BATTERIES
ANTITRUST LITIGATION,

Case No. 13-MD-02420 YGR (DMR)

MDL No. 2420

This Documents Relates to:
ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS

ORDER GRANTING INDIRECT
PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS'
UNOPPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO AMEND SCHEDULE
AND NOTICES FOR SETTLEMENTS
WITH HITACHI MAXELL, NEC AND
LG CHEM
AS MODIFIED BY THE COURT

Dkt. No. 1831

This matter comes before the Court on Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' Unopposed Administrative Motion to Amend Schedule and Notices for Settlements with Defendants Hitachi Maxell, Ltd. and Maxell Corporation of America (collectively, "Hitachi Maxell"), NEC Corporation ("NEC"), and LG Chem, Ltd. and LG Chem America, Inc. (collectively, "LG Chem") ("IPPs' Motion").

Based upon IPPs' representation that there was a delay in processing and delivering notice to settlement class members by the vendor responsible for email notice, and to ensure that all settlement class members have sufficient time to receive notice, consider the terms of the settlements, and to submit their claims or objections and requests for exclusion, and good cause appearing, the Court **ORDERS** that deadlines stated in its Order Granting Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motions for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlements with Hitachi Maxell, NEC, and LG Chem (Dkt. No. 1714 "March 20, 2017" Order") are **CONTINUED** as follows:

1 1. The Fairness Hearing shall be held before this Court on **October 3, 2017**, at 2:00
2 p.m., at the United States District Court Northern District of California, located in Courtroom 1-
3 4th Floor, at 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612 to determine whether to approve certification of
4 the class for settlement purposes; whether the proposed settlements of the lawsuit on the terms and
5 conditions provided for in the Settlement Agreements are fair, reasonable, and adequate to the
6 Settlement Classes and should be approved by the Court; whether a final judgment should be
7 entered herein; whether the proposed plan of distribution should be approved; to determine the
8 amount of fees and expenses that should be awarded to Class Counsel; and to determine the
9 amount of the service awards that should be provided to class representatives. The Court may
10 adjourn the Fairness Hearing without further notice to the members of the Settlement Class.

11 2. The long form and short form notices, previously approved by the Court in its
12 March 20, 2017 Order, and attached thereto as Exhibits 1 and 2, shall be amended to conform to
13 the new deadlines as stated herein.

14 3. No later than **June 19, 2017**, the Settlement Notice Administrators shall cause this
15 Order and the amended schedule to be published on a public website, located at
16 www.reversethecharge.com.

17 4. Beginning no later than **June 19, 2017**, the Settlement Notice Administrators shall
18 provide email notice to **all** settlement class members whose email addresses can be identified with
19 reasonable effort, **including those who were already sent notice by email**, substantially in the
20 form of the notice at Exhibit 1 to the March 20, 2017 Order as amended to conform to the new
21 deadlines as stated herein. The Court finds that notice to all settlement class members of the
22 extended deadlines is necessary to ensure their rights are adequately safeguarded, regardless of
23 whether they were provided email notice before this time.

24 5. Any person who desires to request exclusion from the Settlement Class shall do so
25 by **August 11, 2017**.

26 6. Any member of the Settlement Class may appear and show cause, if he or she has
27 any reason, why the proposed settlements should or should not be approved as fair, reasonable, and
28 adequate; why a judgment should or should not be entered thereon; why the plan of distribution

1 should or should not be approved; why attorneys' fees and expenses should or should not be
2 awarded to Class Counsel; or why the service awards should or should not be awarded to the class
3 representatives. All written objections and supporting papers must (a) clearly identify the case
4 name and number (*In re Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litigation*, Indirect Purchaser Action, Case
5 No.13-md-02420 YGR (DMR)), (b) be submitted to the Court either by mailing them to the Class
6 Action Clerk, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, 1301 Clay St,
7 Oakland, CA 94612, and (c) be filed or postmarked on or before **August 11, 2017**.

8 7. All papers in support of the settlements and responses by Class Counsel regarding
9 objections and exclusions shall be filed and served by **August 28, 2017**.

10 8. The claims period shall continue through and including Wednesday, **November 29,**
11 **2017**.

12 9. All other parts of the March 20, 2017 Order remain in effect.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14 DATED: 6/8, 2017



HON. JEFFREY S. WHITE
for

HON. YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28